

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,856		11/01/2001	Quynh T. Pham	J6655(C)	9814
201	7590	02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
UNILEVE		IENT	FUBARA, BLESSING M		
PATENT D		IENI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1615	
				DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 42 44					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/044,856	PHAM ET AL.					
_	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in t	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	ç	James M. Spear JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER					
		ART UNIT 1615					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/044,856

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' argue that the clear cosmetic stick of Brewster must necessarily be sticky because it is a stick. Examiner disagrees with this because a stick cosmetic is not necessarily sticky. Applicants do not exclude cosmetics that are formulated as stick or stick cosmetics. Secondly, applicants state that the "Wetting Test element is not shown to always be present/met, in Brewster," and thus the standard for inherency is not met. This is not persuasive because applicants have admitted that the wetting element is present sometimes in Brewster and therefore that disclosure meets that limitation; the Monsanto case is thus not applicable in this case. Applicants state that although Beerse discloses Pemulen TR1 and Pemulen TR2, Beerse does not suggest a selection of Pemulen TR2 over Pemulen TR1; and that applicants show that Pemulen TR2 meets the wetting test and TR1 does not, which means that Beerse can not be prior art. However, by applicants own admission, Beerse discloses Pemulen TR2 and thus meets the wetting

James M. Spean

JAMES M. SPEAR

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1615